

Proceedings of the First Ordener Lectures

October 4 & 5, 2012 185 Rue Ordener, Paris Organizers: Manuel Bodirsky & Michael Pinsker

The Ordener Program

Thursday October 4

Coffee: Ethiopian Moka

Morning session (10:00-12:45): Barnaby Martin - Coffee - Hubie Chen - Coffee - Florent Madelaine Lunch break: Turkish Afternoon session (14:15-16:30): Todor Tsankov - Coffee - András Pongrácz

Friday October 5

Coffee: Costa Rica Arabica Morning session (10:00-12:45): Johan Thapper - Coffee - David Bradley-Williams Lunch break: Italian Afternoon session (14:15-16:30): Manuel Bodirsky - Coffee - Michael Pinsker

The Ordener Problems

BARNABY MARTIN

Problem 1. Let \mathcal{B} be a finite structure over a finite signature σ whose domain B is of cardinality |B|. For $1 \leq j \leq |B|$, the formula $\exists^{\geq j} x \ \phi(x)$ with counting quantifier should be interpreted on \mathcal{B} as stating that there exist at least j distinct elements $b \in B$ such that $\mathcal{B} \models \phi(b)$. Counting quantifiers generalise existential $(\exists := \exists^{\geq 1})$, universal $(\forall := \exists^{\geq |B|})$ and (weak) majority $(\exists^{\geq |B|/2})$ quantifiers.

For $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \{1, \ldots, |B|\}$, the X-CSP(\mathcal{B}) takes as input a sentence of the form $\Phi := Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \ldots Q_m x_m \ \phi(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$, where ϕ is a conjunction of positive atoms of σ and each Q_i is of the form $\exists^{\geq j}$ for some $j \in X$.

Let \mathcal{K}_n be the complete irreflexive graph on n vertices. A full complexity classification – a trichotomy – is known for the problem X-CSP (\mathcal{K}_n) , $X \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, except for cases of the form $\{j\}$ -CSP (\mathcal{K}_{2j}) . When, j := 1 we have $\{1\}$ -CSP (\mathcal{K}_2) =CSP (\mathcal{K}_2) which is in L. For higher j, the question is both challenging and open.

HUBIE CHEN

Problem 2. Is the periodic power idempotent, that is, does it hold that, for a relational structure B, that B^{per} is isomorphic to $(B^{per})^{per}$?

Problem 3. It was shown by Chen and Müller (LICS '12) that surjective periomorphisms characterize conjunctive-positive definability on ω -categorical structures. Prove or disprove the following: surjective *polymorphisms* characterize conjunctive-positive definability on ω -categorical structures.

Problem 4. Let G be an oligomorphic permutation group, and consider relational structures B where B is a relational structure having all elements of G as automorphisms, and where $[B]_{pH}$ has a constant polymorphism. (Here, $[B]_{pH}$ denotes the set of all relations that are conjunctive-positive/positive Horn definable over B.) Develop techniques for classifying the complexity of QCSP(B).

Note: Chen and Müller (LICS '12) show how to do this classification using periomorphisms in the case where G is the set of all permutations. An interesting open case is where G is the automorphism group of the ordered rationals ($\mathbb{Q}, <$).

Problem 5. How many Ordener Lecturers are needed to unscrew a lightbulb?

FLORENT MADELAINE

Recall the notion of *exponential* of the structures B and C, denoted by C^B , whose defining property states that for all structures A,

$$A \times B \to C$$
 if and only if $A \to C^B$.

This abstract definition coincides with the following concrete definition in the case of finite structures and arbitrary structures. The universe of the (concrete) exponential C^B consists of all maps from the universe of B to the universe of C. For every symbol R_i and every tuples $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{r_i}$ of such maps, we set $R_i(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{r_i})$ to hold in C^B if, and only if, for every tuple $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{r_i}$ of elements of B such that $R_i(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{r_i})$ holds in B, it follows that $R_i(f_1(b_1), f_2(b_2), \ldots, f_{r_i}(b_{r_i}))$ holds in C.

Problem 6. Given two ω -categorical structures over a similar relational structure C and \mathcal{B} , is it the case that there is always some ω -categorical structure homomorphically equivalent to $C^{\mathcal{B}}$?

One could also take the opposite stance and attempt to prove a negative result.

Problem 7. Same question for the class of countable (or larger) structures. One would expect the core of the exponential to not be in the class.

Our effort in this direction have been fruitless. One would like the exponential of two countable structure to encode something like the reals. However for any reasonable encoding, one ends up having a homomorphism from C to \mathcal{B} and thus the core of the exponential is trivial.

TODOR TSANKOV

Problem 8. Is the universal minimal flow of oligomorphic permutation groups always metrizable? How about the universal minimal flow of any Roelcke precompact Polish group?

Problem 9. Is it true that any metrizable minimal flow of an oligomorphic group has a G_{δ} orbit? (If the universal minimal flow is metrizable, this is equivalent to the universal minimal flow having a G_{δ} orbit.)

András Pongrácz

Problem 10. Does every homogeneous structure over a finite relational language have an ω -categorical Ramsey expansion? (Is it homogeneous in a finite relational language?)

Problem 11. Assume that \mathcal{F} is a finitely bounded homogeneous Ramsey structure. Is the order forgetful order expansion $\mathcal{F}^* = (\mathcal{F}, \prec)$ finitely bounded?

Problem 12. Characterize the structures \mathcal{F} such that \mathcal{F} is a homogeneous Ramsey structure in a finite relational language, and $|Aut(\mathcal{F}) : Aut(\mathcal{F}^*)|$ is finite, where $\mathcal{F}^* = (\mathcal{F}, \prec)$ is the order forgetful order expansion of \mathcal{F} . (Also interesting for $|Aut(\mathcal{F}) : Aut(\mathcal{F}^*)| = 2$.)

Problem 13. Characterize the structures \mathcal{F} such that \mathcal{F} is a homogeneous Ramsey structure in a finite relational language, and $Aut(\mathcal{F})$ is 3-transitive.

Problem 14. Let \mathcal{F} be homogeneous in a finite relational language, and let \mathcal{F}' be an expansion of \mathcal{F} such that $|Aut(\mathcal{F}) : Aut(\mathcal{F}')|$ is finite. Is \mathcal{F}' homogeneous in a finite relational language? (Also interesting for \mathcal{F}' ordered Ramsey.)

Problem 15. Let \mathcal{F} be homogeneous in a finite relational language, and let $\mathcal{F}' = (\mathcal{F}; R_1, \ldots, R_n)$ be a homogeneous ordered Ramsey expansion of \mathcal{F} such that the universal minimal flow $M(Aut(\mathcal{F}))$ is $Aut(\mathcal{F}) \curvearrowright \overline{Aut(\mathcal{F})} \cdot (R_1, \ldots, R_n)$ (the logic action). Assume that $Aut(\mathcal{F})$ is uniquely ergodic. Is it true that the unique Borel measure is supported by the generic orbit of $M(Aut(\mathcal{F}))$?

JOHAN THAPPER

Problem 16. Classify all ω -categorical structures with totally symmetric polymorphisms of all arities. Are there countably many?

Problem 17. A structure Γ is *f*-samplable, for some $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ if, for each *n*, there is a structure *B* of size f(n) with $A \to B$ iff $A \to \Gamma$, for all structures *A* of size *n*. Find an *f*-samplable Γ such that,

- (1) B cannot always be chosen homomorphic to Γ ;
- (2) B can always be chosen homomorphic to Γ but not always as an induced substructure of Γ .

DAVID BRADLEY-WILLIAMS

Problem 18. Given an ω -categorical first order theory T with countably infinite model $M \models T$, when is M homogenisable in a finite relational language?

An answer is desired which is dependent only on properties of the theory, or properties of the topological group Aut(M).

Problem 19. In particular is there a topological group counter example? Are there a pair of groups G and H which are isomorphic as topological groups but that G is the automorphism group of M which is homogeneous in a finite relational language, but $H = \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ where N is not homogenisable in a finite relational language?

Problem 20. For an ω -categorical structure M in a finite relational language $L = \{M; R_1, R_2, ..., R_k\}$, is there an L-definable function $f: M^n \to M$ such that whenever A is a finite subset of M, then the algebraic closure of A is exactly the closure generated by function f;

 $\operatorname{acl}(A) = \langle A \rangle_f.$

MANUEL BODIRSKY

Problem 21 (Transition to the core). Let Γ be the reduct of a finitely bounded homogeneous structures. Is the model companion of Γ also the reduct of a finitely bounded homogeneous structure? Same question for the core companion.

Problem 22 (Transition to the core). Let Γ be the reduct of a structure with finite relational signature and the Ramsey property. Is the model companion of Γ also the reduct of a homogeneous structure with finite relational signature and the Ramsey property? Same question for core companion.

Problem 23 (Inverse of Canonization Lemma). Let Γ be ω -categorical, homogeneous, and ordered. Suppose also that for all finite tuples \bar{a} of elements of Γ , and for all $f: \Gamma \to \Gamma$ there exists $g: \Gamma \to \Gamma$ such that

(1)
$$g \in \overline{Aut(\Gamma)f Aut(\Gamma, \bar{a})}$$

and g is canonical as a map from (Γ, \bar{a}) to Γ . Is it then true that Γ is Ramsey?

Problem 24 (Tractability). Let Γ be the reduct of a finitely bounded homogeneous structure. Suppose that Γ has for every n an n-ary polymorphism f and an automorphism α such that for all $\pi \in S_n$

$$\forall \bar{x}. f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \alpha(f(x_{\pi 1}, \dots, x_{\pi n})).$$

Is $CSP(\Gamma)$ in P?

Problem 25 (Finite Model Theory). Is it true that for every problem L in NP there exists an ω -categorical Γ such that L and $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ are Ptime equivalent?

MICHAEL PINSKER

We all know oligomorphic groups. There is a notion of *weakly oligomorphic* for transformation monoids, which is weaker than the monoid simply containing an oligomorphic permutation group, and which is still strong enough to make it possible to prove theorems about structures which have a weakly oligomorphic endomorphism monoid.

A clone is called *oligomorphic* iff it contains an oligomorphic permutation group. We could also call it *weakly oligomorphic* iff its unary part is a weakly oligomorphic monoid. However, we would like to have a notion which depends on more than just the unary functions of the clone.

Problem 26. Find the right analog of *oligomorphic* for clones – weaker than the clone just containing a weakly oligomorphic transformation monoid, but strong enough to prove theorems, e.g., Topological Birkhoff.

The Ordener Lectures

Lovász 1967. 4/10/12 exponential of Structures. finite AxB CB the map from Bloc FAGIN valios A NP = ESO (330 FO) ¥ 51,52 : 4 Strade Strade el G. 1/2 (9.(3)) 50 C Are) * CSP(P/= Forbul 1:54. (A. Fab (Se) [Geal: M - finite all ham stantur let C & B he w- at Sr. Beypansion M'--P.r.h. M' has Ransey. dan Here exist D S.L $C: N^{CND}$ D _ CB KEN C Bo = Carit LASM CB YBEM D. Melen-sulais BE JAGN YA FAR (=> A->6 duchely par (F,G); G = Aut(M) - oligour. G/G'henfe : Kunalls is even that. K comparies spire has a food port Retion on a company FSG Sor Baix G Fr. Antas

M(G) = Win minimal Dow of G G-gionp G-SNUP MIG): GRM/G) GAX BTT: M(G) -> X compart G-equivariant even orbit is derive G Q M(G) G - equivariant 8 catimou 71(2.x) = g. TI(x) (compart space Ex. M(Sm) = D= 2^{w/w} Stop = 2^{w/w} U(GL) V xELO 39ES g.xell U(GL) V xELO 39ES g.xell U(GL) V xELO 39ES g.xell OLNNSLI 2^L 0^L Splice Putter It G is discrite (H _) M(G) K non-metri salleze pt The oils Known was to compute " M(G): find H/26 "large" and S.1. H is ext-anonable. Step 1] & G in Roeline precompat Comi polish => M(G) is metrisally is DU JIG JEEG is a compact storp iff VUJIC FECC FUEC Rime FUEER UFH=G UFU=G Ex. G = Aut (M) - = 1:5. Roelike precomptor All oligon-groups ar H acts olig. on M. G=Aut(M) H=G, off is act. GZSr VZG G= Aut 12 2)GIHT is gream. G[H = all ctinuture on N ~ M H is "large" in G $G=S_{\infty}$, H=Ant(M)3 GH is G(H= - - whole are Care(M). GIH is prenompail (H is 12-prenompail

9

6 H= Aut (2) $G \in Aut(M)$ DN A GaXe A - Pinte A occurs in an elim. dr X { Age (Y). MIGKE XOEX LOGG 56 V.X. is Z. Jenu G. 40 is dure Condision St. in Yrell, A, BEZ JCEC TFAC i' wardend the coloring (P) we have monochromotic & E(B). 2- clanet stops cha · Jac Rud (F), xige Fsd. A E Age (F (R; Cycl) 3! A* E Age (# 2(x)=9 2(y)=x ₩*=(F, <) JORA(P) 1 ×19 (1/17/19) PE But (Fx

F*=(F, <) CM Pr. - Autin HAG Run, Ry er gi w-categorial str Mis then Mig HOMOGENTZALE & there an M' is homogeneous on the some downain and Aut (M') = Aut (M). CM 1Pm HAG = ANIM O How does this velete te Corington How opening ability RIV-IR. Juni Ju Ex1. Random Bipartile gray GI M VI V=8.3.

w-cat, not homogeni penary prarely Let Tr (contain all ite VA) OB servations about Tz Questions / M & C, U) (is-there a furtion which forces substrue fails AP in C homogeneous. O substas wet ally closed ento, into s with B not objectosed we don't know the str on all B burary branches + re type, of and No.

1 - A - subset there is a Q(2(;A) st $\{a' \neq Q(x; A)\}$ is finite and contain a. Ad (A) is $\{a' \mid a'' a \}$ II Thouse of Canonization Letter ma (I) Properties preserved by "going to the model-companion" be w-cat, ordered, Ramsey, Lomog. T: FO Theory T me off every to formula O' is mod. T T me off every to formula. iff every end. Betw. models of T pris all to formula Vf. THT I cononical g: The P sit. USET finite IZEAuf(P) Let T be w-cat. Then Th(T') is m.c. iff Put(T) is dense in Emb(T)Suppose P is when contactures Phun: Hu-cat [31] (Qto,<) Bat. France Ra s.t. 1) 17 and & Save the sure and 2) Th(A) is me me cone 3) D is u-art. (Q,≤) $(\mathbb{Q}_{1^{<}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_{1^{<}})$ Question: Suppose Age (F) is finitely bounded Is Age (A) filmitely bounded. Suppose F is s.t. Aut (P) extr. aman. Js Aut (A) Extr. aman. Tobr: Convers

Support w-art. s.t (4) Finite model Theory CSP(QA) = f = Pol(r) = x = Aut(r) s.t. "Godroterm" Suppose P is w-cat, CSP(r) €NP. VX f(Xm -- Xm) = x((liff] ex. second-orobi sentence P (SP(P) tractable ? No! s.t. Vfimite A, A-P iff A = \$ +AGIN Henson digna Ris: Gonog. din 3 Kinson dignaples Lith Hundleidala QSP. 6-NP complete. BRAIL R. & Morrial Han & in Expe I to Xey = t(xig) =fep;(a) f(x,y)= x(f t(x,y) to (y,z) = to (x,z)Question: JS CSP(P) Suppose that P is the reduct . Cinitaly bounded home. already in SNP? CSP(r) ENP Suppose that 3 FE Pol(1) Suppose that 3 FE Pol(1) st. Is then for every probled in UP a po-cat I st. Paul $f(f(x,y,x)) = \chi_{1}(f(x,y,x)) = \chi_{2}(f(y,x)) = \chi_{2}(f(x,y,x)) = \chi_{2}(f(x,y,x))$ CSP(P) and Pare Ptime Egnis Oligonophic clones Thun B 22 algebras on w oups -> clones s.t. el(B) éeron) > BEHSEFT 20 loc. oligon ~ I wat how l cloue digoure RR (24) → RR(3) with & is want ROSWER N < T > = Inc Fal (r' Ex. Leeur: fuot sui Py. & beally oligoon. De cligon. Masubrie Monorality X Oliginorphic 5 the May have afrex MAXY Zmx: me Mg foutely trace Ry. Eclone weakly uligar. EDENX cligan.

Thim TEAE & clone I Generic equivalence rel Here Imalel en " I'm the lar (a) Emiles Serdel-Switch S -> sw (a) ordenor G.H same domain Ju ble GAMOS Q: Find the try (f Fraisse class Ace down (x) = 4 for some Can) := { x ∈ R : down As notion of ourphie EE equivalence relation 04 p 1) AND States) ANB => AlaB Clones Almon Bland Bring THESA ARBANA ANBINA l'Fraissé classé Input: (C,H) N generic equiriel. Question: VT thor (C+T) < thous (H-ST) D:= fin l lf: Br Sa: le VASA closed supergroup of Ala An ([A]] Suprement of the H + >>G H tom G necessary Decidency N Suppose P homog. CSP(A) eP for all fim. substit of A given A, B : An B 2) give A,B: A~B'=B To the CSP(P) EP Are there is - cat. structs I, A s.t. Partial orders 3, DSC 3. Andration 'mul Dec ic Q: An BBD $\begin{array}{c} Age(r) = Age(\Delta) \\ Aut(r) = Aut(\Delta) \end{array}$ $(P \leq)$ Betts À Mail FLOMOS, Lis not \$5/21/51 27, B Z-a gebras Roc. oligomon BEHSPER OT T · Z: (lo(21) -> (lo(B) a. & cons

15