# Equidistribution of Divisors and Representations by Binary Quadratic Forms

Michael Drmota and Mariusz Skałba<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

We study the number of divisors in residue classes modulo m and prove, for example, that there is an exact equidistribution if and only if  $m = 2^k p_1 p_2 \dots p_s$  where k and s are non-negative integers and  $p_j$  are distinct Fermat primes. We also provide a general lower bound for the proportion of divisions in the residue class 1 mod m. Finally we present lower bounds for the number of representations by a binary quadratic form with a negative discriminant.

# 1 Introduction

Let m > 1 be a fixed natural number and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  relatively prime to m. Our goal is to compare the behaviour of the two arithmetical functions

$$D_{m,\alpha,r}(n) = \sum_{d|n,d\equiv r \pmod{m}} d^{\alpha}$$

and "the total divisor function"

$$D_{m,\alpha}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{\alpha}$$

where  $\alpha$  is a real parameter and we make the convention that functions  $D_{m,\cdot}(n)$  are defined only for *n* relatively prime to *m*.

We shall show that for most natural n (coprime to m) the approximation

$$D_{m,\alpha,r}(n) \approx \frac{1}{\varphi(m)} D_{m,\alpha}(n)$$

holds independently on r (which are also coprime to m). Moreover we will characterize those n, for which the above approximations can be replaced by exact equalities. This is only possible for  $\alpha = 0$ . In such case we say that divisors of n are equidistributed mod m. The set of all such n will be denoted by ED(m). It turn out that for any m the set ED(m) is big. It contains a complete infinite arithmetic progression and intersects every arithmetic progression too - so ED(m) is a dense open set in Furstenberg's topology [5]. We characterize as well those moduli m for which the set ED(m) is very big, in the sense that it contains almost all natural numbers that are coprime to m. These are precisely those m for which the regular m-gon can be constructed by compass and rule. Moreover we prove that for any natural number n (coprime to m) at least a positive proportion of its divisors ly in the residue class 1 mod m.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work was supported by the Austrian Science Foundation, grant Nr. M 00233–MAT.

In the last part of the paper similar theorems are provided for the number of representations of a given natural number n by a positive definite binary quadratic form.

Results concerning upper bounds for the number of divisors in residue classes are obtained in [4, 7, 2].

# 2 Divisors

**Theorem 1.** Let m be a positive integer. Then for almost all natural numbers n (coprime to m) the following estimate holds

$$\left|\frac{D_{m,\alpha,r}(n)}{D_{m,\alpha}(n)} - \frac{1}{\varphi(m)}\right| < \frac{a(m)}{(\log n)^{b(m)}} \tag{1}$$

with positive constants a(m), b(m) depending only on m.

**Proof.** With the help of Dirichlet characters we have

$$D_{m,\alpha,r}(n) = \frac{1}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{\chi} \overline{\chi(r)} \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) d^{\alpha}$$
(2)

and consequently we obtain

$$\left|\frac{D_{m,\alpha,r}(n)}{D_{m,\alpha}(n)} - \frac{1}{\varphi(m)}\right| \le \frac{1}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{\chi \ne \chi_0} \prod_{p^k \parallel n} \left|\frac{1 + \chi(p)p^\alpha + \ldots + \chi(p^k)p^{\alpha k}}{1 + p^\alpha + \ldots + p^{\alpha k}}\right|$$

There exists a positive constant c(m) < 1 depending only on m such that if  $\chi(p) \neq 1$  then

$$\left|\frac{1+\chi(p)p^{\alpha}+\ldots+\chi(p^{k})p^{\alpha k}}{1+p^{\alpha}+\ldots+p^{\alpha k}}\right| \le c(m).$$

By Hardy and Ramanujan [6] the function  $\log \log n$  is a normal order of the function  $\omega(n)$ , hence for any  $c \in (0, 1)$  almost all natural numbers n relatively prime to m have at least  $c \log \log n$  distinct prime factors. This directly leads to (1).

**Theorem 2.** Let m be a positive integer. For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  (coprime to m) the equality

$$D_{m,\alpha,r}(n) = \frac{1}{\varphi(m)} D_{m,\alpha}(n) \tag{3}$$

holds for any r relatively prime to m if and only if  $\alpha = 0$  and for any non-principal Dirichlet's character  $\chi$  there exists a prime p with  $p^k || n$  such that

$$\chi(p) \neq 1$$
 and  $\chi(p)^{k+1} = 1$ 

**Proof.** In virtue of the explicit formula (2) and the independence of Dirichlet characters the proposed equidistribution property is equivalent to the conditions

$$\sum_{d|n} \chi(d) d^{\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \chi \neq \chi_0$$

and further to

$$\prod_{p^k \parallel n} (1 + \chi(p)p^{\alpha} + \ldots + \chi(p^k)p^{k\alpha}) = 0 \qquad (\chi \neq \chi_0)$$

Hence for any non-principal  $\chi$  there exists a prime p with  $p^k || n$  such that

$$\chi(p) \neq 1$$
 and  $(\chi(p)p^{\alpha})^{k+1} = 1$ 

and the assertion follows.

**Remark.** For m = 4 and  $\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1$  the Theorem 2 has an interesting interpretation in the theory of quadratic forms. A classical result states that the number of representations of an odd natural number n as the sum of two squares equals to

$$4(D_{4,0,1}(n) - D_{4,0,3}(n))$$

The condition given in Theorem 2 states now that n is not representable as the sum of two squares if and only if there exists  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$  such that  $p^k || n$  with odd k.

On the other hand the number of representations of an odd n as the sum of four squares is equal by Jacobi to

$$8(D_{4,1,1}(n) - D_{4,1,3}(n))$$

and again Theorem 2 is consistent with Lagrange theorem stating that the above number is always positive!

We recall that ED(m) is the set of positive integers n (coprime to m) such that  $D_{m,0,r}(n) = \frac{1}{\omega(m)} D_{m,0}(n)$  holds for all r (coprime to m).

**Theorem 3.** For any m > 1 the set ED(m) contains an infinite arithmetic progression, whereas its complement  $\mathbf{N} \setminus ED(m)$  does not contain an infinite progression.

**Proof.** For any non-principal  $\chi$  choose  $p_{\chi}$  a prime such that  $\chi(p_{\chi}) \neq 1$ . Now choose  $k_{\chi} \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\chi(p_{\chi})^{k_{\chi}+1} = 1$ . By Theorem 2 the arithmetic progression

$$\prod_{\chi \neq \chi_0} p_{\chi}^{k_{\chi}} + t \prod_{\chi \neq \chi_0} p_{\chi}^{k_{\chi}+1}$$

meets our requirements. To prove the second part let us first remark that if  $n_1 \in ED(m)$ and  $gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$  than  $n_1n_2 \in ED(m)$  as well. Consider an arithmetic progression b + ta and choose  $p_{\chi}$ ,  $k_{\chi}$  as above but additionally  $p_{\chi}$  cannot divide a. The non-empty subsequence of b + ta determined by the congruence

$$at + b \equiv \prod_{\chi \neq \chi_0} p_{\chi}^{k_{\chi}} \mod \prod_{\chi \neq \chi_0} p_{\chi}^{k_{\chi}+1}$$

consists completely of elements of ED(m). So we have proved even a stronger assertion.

**Theorem 4.** The set ED(m) consists of almost all natural numbers (coprime to m) if and only if

$$m = 2^{\kappa} p_1 p_2 \dots p_s,$$

where k and s are non-negative integers and  $p_i$  are distinct Fermat primes.

**Proof.** First let us assume that almost all natural numbers (coprime to m) are in ED(m). Choose  $n \in ED(m)$  squarefree. Hence  $\varphi(m)|D_{m,0}(n) = 2^{\omega(n)}$ , where  $\omega(n)$  stands for the number of distinct primes dividing n. Of course implies that m must be of the form stated in the theorem.

Conversely, assume that m is of this form. It implies that any non-principal character  $\chi$  attains the value -1. Let us denote by  $P(\chi)$  the set of primes p with property  $\chi(p) = -1$ . This set is a union of some arithmetic progressions with common difference m intersected

with the set of all primes. For a given non-principal  $\chi$  let  $M_{\chi}(x)$  denotes the number of  $n \leq x$  such that every  $p \in P(\chi)$  appears of even order in n, that is, p||n implies 2|k. By Dirichlet's prime number theorem and simple sieve-reasoning it follows easily that

$$M_{\chi}(x) = O\left(\frac{x}{\left(\log x\right)^{rac{s_{\chi}}{\varphi(m)}}}
ight)$$

where  $s_{\chi}$  is the number of arithmetical progressions determining  $P(\chi)$  (see e.g. [9], p.147, ex.4). If ED(m, x) denotes the number of  $n \in ED(m)$  with  $n \leq x$  then by Theorem 2

$$ED(m, x) \ge x - \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} M_{\chi}(x)$$

and this completes the proof.

Before we formulate the last theorem concerning divisors recall some useful definition. For any finite Abelian group G we define D(G), the Davenport constant of G, as the smallest natural number k such that from any sequence  $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G$  one can extract a subsequence  $g_{i_1}, \ldots, g_{i_t}$  satisfying

$$g_{i_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot g_{i_t}=e.$$

For simplicity let G(m) denote the multiplicative group of reduced residue classes mod m.

**Theorem 5.** For any natural number n, relatively prime to m we have

$$D_{m,0,1}(n) \ge \frac{1}{2^{D(G(m))-1}} D_{m,0}(n)$$

Moreover this estimate is optimal.

**Proof.** The inequality is a direct consequence of the following general theorem of Zakarczemny, proved in his doctoral thesis [11]:

**Zakarczemny's Theorem.** Let G be a finite Abelian group and  $g_1, \ldots, g_m$  the sequence of its elements. For any sequence of positive integers  $(b_1, \ldots, b_m)$  the number N of sequences  $(e_1, \ldots, e_m)$  fulfilling

$$g_1^{e_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot g_m^{e_m}=e$$

and

$$0 \le e_j \le b_j$$
, for  $1 \le j \le m$ ,

satifies the inequality

$$N \ge 2^{1-D(G)} \prod_{j=1}^{m} (b_j + 1).$$

which is optimal. (A list of references to earlier partial results from many authors can be also found in [11].)

# 3 Representations by binary quadratic forms

Consider the equation

$$F(x,y) = n, (4)$$

where  $F(x,y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying a > 0,  $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac < 0$ and gcd(a, b, c) = 1. Although we are interested only in the form F we shall consider for any negative integer  $\Delta \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$  the whole form class group  $C(\Delta)$  of all equivalence classes of integral binary primitive quadratic forms with discriminant  $\Delta$ . The group structure in  $C(\Delta)$  is given by Gauss composition of classes, see [3]. The symbol  $C^2(\Delta)$  denotes the subgroup of squares in  $C(\Delta)$ . By Gauss theory  $C^2(\Delta)$  coincides with the main-genus subgroup of  $C(\Delta)$  but we will not use this important theorem. From now on assume that there are  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $gcd(x_0, y_0) = 1$  and  $F(x_0, y_0) = n$  and let us ask for the number  $N_F(n)$  of all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying (4). We can also ask for the number  $N_F^*(n)$ of  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying (4) and additionally (x, y) = 1. We adopt here and in the sequel the following convention: we identify (x, y) and (-x, -y) in the definitions of  $N_F(n)$  and  $N_F^*(n)$ . First we prove a lower bound for  $N_F^*(n)$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let F be a binary quadratic form with coprime coefficients and negative discriminant  $\Delta$  and let n be a positive integer that is represented by F by coprime integers and satisfies  $gcd(n, \Delta) = 1$ . Then we have

$$N_F^*(n) \ge 2^{1 - D(C^2(\Delta))} \cdot 2^{\omega(n)}.$$
(5)

where  $\omega(n)$  stands for the number of distinct primes dividing n.

**Proof.** In order to prove (5) we need the correspondence between the quadratic forms and quadratic orders ([1, 3, 10]) and reformulate the problem as follows. Let K be a class of proper ideals of the order  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$  corresponding to the class of the form F – the class K is an element of the ideal-class-group  $C(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta})$ . Further, let S(K, n) denote the set of all integral ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ ) lying in the class K, having no rational factor but norm n. By assumption  $S(K, n) \neq \emptyset$  so let us fix some  $I \in S(K, n)$ . Let

$$I = \mathfrak{p}_1^{k_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathfrak{p}_m^{k_m}$$

be the canonical decomposition of I into prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ . All  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  are pairwise distinct, not conjugate and  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_j \neq \mathfrak{p}_j$ . Now let  $J \in S(K, n)$  be different from I. We have

$$J = \prod_{j \in A} \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_j^{k_j} \prod_{j \notin A} \mathfrak{p}_j^{k_j} \tag{6}$$

and the property that

$$\prod_{j \in A} (\mathfrak{p}_j^{k_j})^2 \tag{7}$$

is principal, where  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, m\}$  is uniquely determined by J. On the other hand, any A with the property that the ideal (7) is principal produces by the formula (6) an ideal J in S(K, n). In virtue of this bijection the proof of (5) is finished by applying a very special case of the above theorem of Zakarczemny for  $b_1 = \ldots = b_m = 1$  (by the way this is a classical theorem of J.E. Olson and has been proved in [8]).

The corresponding result concerning arbitrary representations is the following one.

**Theorem 7.** Let F be a binary quadratic form with coprime coefficients and negative discriminant  $\Delta$  and let n be a positive integer that is represented by F by coprime integers and satisfies  $gcd(n, \Delta) = 1$ . Then we have

$$N_F(n) \ge 2^{1 - D(C^2(\Delta))} \tau(n) \tag{8}$$

where  $\tau(n)$  stands for the number of all positive divisors of n.

**Proof.** For  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  satisfying (4) we put x' = x/D, y' = y/D with  $D = \gcd(x, y)$ . Then

$$F(x', y') = \frac{n}{D^2}$$
 and  $gcd(x', y') = 1$ .

In this way we can see that

$$N_F(n) = \sum_{d|n} \Box(d) N_F^*(\frac{n}{d}),$$

where  $\Box$  is the characteristic function of integral squares

$$\Box(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = D^2 \\ 0 & \text{in other cases.} \end{cases}$$

By (5) we infer

$$N_F(n) \ge 2^{1-D(C^2(\Delta))} \sum_{d|n} \Box(d) 2^{\omega(n/d)}.$$

The sum on the right-hand side is a Dirichlet convolution of multiplicative functions and therefore it is multiplicative, too. We verify easily that for prime powers it coincides with  $\tau$ , hence we get (8).

# References

- [1] Z.I. Borevich, I.R. Shafarevich, Number Theory, Nauka, Moscow 1985 (in Russian).
- [2] D. Coppersmith, N.Howgrave-Graham, S.V.Nagaraj, Divisors in residue classes, constructively, Math. of Comp. 77 (2008), 531-545.
- [3] D.A. Cox, Primes of the form  $x^2 + ny^2$ , Wiley-Interscience, New York 1989.
- [4] H. Cohen, Diviseurs appartenant a une meme classe residuelle, Seminaire de Theorie Nomb. de Bordeaux 1982-83, 1-12.
- [5] H. Furstenberg, On the infinitude of primes, American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 62, 1955, p. 353.
- [6] G.H.Hardy, S.Ramanujan, The normal number of prime factors of a number n, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 48 (1917), 76-92.
- [7] H.W.Lenstra, *Divisors in residue classes*, Math. of Comp. 42 (1984), 331-340.
- [8] J.E. Olson, A combinatorial problem on finite Abelian groups, J.Number Theory 1 (1969), 8-10.
- [9] K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Die Grundlehren der Math. Wissen. 91, Springer-Verlag 1957.
- [10] M. Skałba, On numbers with a unique representation by a binary quadratic form, Acta Arith. 64 (1993), 59-68.

[11] M. Zakarczemny, Number of solutions in a box of a linear homogeneous equation in a finite Abelian group, doctoral dissertation, Institute of Mathematics Polish Academy of Sciences 2012.

Michael Drmota Institute of Discrete Mathematics and Geometry Technical University of Vienna Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10 A-1040 Vienna Austria

Mariusz Skałba Institute of Mathematics University of Warsaw Banacha 2 02-097 Warsaw Poland