Bernhard Gittenberger

TU Wien

March 28th, 2025

Tauberian theorems

Tauber's theorem

Recall:

Theorem (Abel's limit theorem)

lf

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}a_n=s$$

then $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n z^n$ defines a continuous function f on [0, 1] and f(1) = s.

What about the converse?

Given the function, statement about the series.

Theorem (Tauber's theorem (1897))

Given
$$f(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n z^n$$
 such that $\lim_{z \to 1-} f(z) = s$ and

$$a_n = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$
 7

Tauberian condition

Then
$$\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n = s$$

Example (Example without side condition)

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{1+z} = \sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^n z^n.$$

Clearly, $\lim_{z \to 1-} f(z) = \frac{1}{2}$, but $\sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n$ is divergent!

Theorem (Hardy & Littlewood (1914), Karamata (1930))

Let
$$f(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} f_n z^n$$
 be a power series with radius of

convergence equal to 1 and, as $z \rightarrow 1-$, (no Δ -analyticity!)

$$f(z) \sim \frac{1}{(1-z)^{lpha}} L\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)$$

If $f_n \ge 0$ (Tauberian condition), then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n f_k \sim \frac{n^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} L(n).$$

If moreover f_n is monotone, then

$$f_n \sim \frac{n^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} L(n).$$

Proof: Set $U(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t \rfloor} f_k$, which is the improper distribution function of a measure U on $[0, \infty)$. Let

$$\omega(s) = \mathbf{E} e^{-sU} = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} U(\mathrm{d} x) = \sum_{k\geq 0} e^{-sk} f_k = f(e^{-s})$$

be its Laplace transform. Let $\tau > 0$ and $t = 1/\tau$. Then

$$\omega(\tau s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau sx} U(\mathrm{d} x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx/t} U(\mathrm{d} x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sy} U(t \mathrm{d} y)$$

is the Laplace transform of the measure with distribution function U(tx).

Let *V* be the measure with distribution function $U(tx)/\omega(\tau)$. For $\tau \to 0$ we have $\omega(\tau) = f(e^{-\tau}) \sim f(1-\tau) \sim \tau^{-\alpha}L\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = t^{\alpha}L(t)$ and $\mathbf{E}e^{-sV} = \frac{\omega(\tau s)}{\omega(\tau)} \sim \frac{f(1-\tau s)}{f(1-\tau)} \sim \frac{1}{s^{\alpha}}\frac{L\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)}{L(t)} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right)$

Now let *W* denote the measure with density $x^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)$. Then, by the continuity theorem for Laplace transforms,

$$\frac{U(tx)}{\omega(\tau)} \longrightarrow \int_0^x \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{x^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$$

But

$$\frac{U(tx)}{\omega(\tau)} = \frac{1}{\omega(\tau)} \sum_{k \leq \lfloor tx \rfloor} f_k \sim \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} \sum_{k \leq \lfloor tx \rfloor} f_k,$$

so set x = 1 and t = n.

Example (Permutations with distinct cycle lengths)

The EGF of $C_{YC_k}(\mathcal{Z})$ is z^k/k . Thus the EGF of the class of permutations with distinct cycle lengths is

$$f(z) = \prod_{k\geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{z^k}{k}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\log f(z) = \log \prod_{k \ge 1} \left(1 + \frac{z^k}{k} \right) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \log \left(1 + \frac{z^k}{k} \right) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} z^{kn}}{k^n n}$$
$$= \log \frac{1}{1-z} + \sum_{k \ge 1} \left(\log \left(1 + \frac{z^k}{k} \right) - \frac{z^k}{k} \right)$$
$$= \log \frac{1}{1-z} + \underbrace{\log(1+z) - z}_{\substack{k \ge 2}} \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} z^{kn}}{k^n n}$$
$$= :g(z)$$

Example (Permutations with distinct cycle lengths - cont'd)

$$g(z) = \log(1+z) - z + \sum_{k \ge 2} \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} z^{kn}}{k^n n} = \sum_{k \ge 1} \left(\log\left(1 + \frac{z^k}{k}\right) - \frac{z^k}{k} \right)$$

g(1) convergent?

$$g(1) = \lim_{z \to 1-} g(z) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \left(\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \right) - \frac{1}{k} \right)$$
$$= -\log 1 + \lim_{n \to \infty} (\log(n+1) - H_n) = -\gamma$$

Thus

$$f(z) \sim rac{e^{-\gamma}}{1-z} ext{ for } z
ightarrow 1.$$

This implies $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k \sim n e^{-\gamma}$.

Example (Permutations with distinct cycle lengths - cont'd)

What about f_n ? Write $f(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}e^{\tilde{g}(z)} = \frac{1+z}{1-z}h(z)$ with

$$ilde{g}(z) = g(z) - \log z = -z + \sum_{m \geq 2} rac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \sum_{k \geq 2} rac{z^{\kappa m}}{k^m}$$

So,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} \sum_{n \ge 0} h_n z^n + \frac{z}{1-z} \sum_{n \ge 0} h_n z^n$$

and thus $f_n = 2h_0 + \cdots + 2h_{n-1} + h_n$. Our goal: Show that f_n converges, then $\sum_{k=0}^n f_k \sim ne^{-\gamma}$ implies $f_n \sim e^{-\gamma}$.

Example (Permutations with distinct cycle lengths – cont'd)

If $|[z^n]\tilde{g}(z)| \leq [z^n]v(z)$ then $|h_n| \leq [z^n]e^{v(z)}$. For $n \geq 2$ we have

$$[z^n]\tilde{g}(z) = [z^n] \sum_{m \ge 2} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{z^{km}}{k^m} = \sum_{m \ge 2, \ m|n, \ m < n} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^m.$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{x} = \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{x}\left(1 + \log x - \log n\right) < 0$$

if $1 \le x \le n/e$. Thus $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^m$ is decreasing for $1 \le m \le n/e$.

Example (Permutations with distinct cycle lengths - cont'd)

Consequently,

$$\begin{split} |[z^n]\tilde{g}(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^2 + \sum_{3 \leq m \leq n/3, \ m|n} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{3}{n}\right)^3 + \frac{2}{n}2^{-n/2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n^2} + \frac{3}{n^2} + \frac{2}{n^2} < \frac{10}{n^2}. \end{split}$$

Thus we can take $w(z) = e^{v(z)} := \exp\left(10 \sum_{\ell \ge 1} \frac{z^{\ell}}{\ell^2}\right)$.

As
$$w' = v'w$$
, we have $nw_n = 10 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{w_k}{n-k}$

Note: $v(1) < \infty$, $w_n \ge \overline{0, w_n \le w(1)}$. Choose C > 0, then for $1 \le n \le C$ and sufficiently large B we have $w_n \le B/n^2$.

Example (Permutations with distinct cycle lengths - cont'd)

Assume $w_m \le B/m^2$ for $1 \le m \le n$ and some $n \ge C$ and proceed by induction on *n*. Choose $C_0 < \frac{C}{2}$: Then

$$\frac{w_k}{n-k} \le w(1) \cdot \frac{2}{n} \text{ for } 0 \le k \le C_0,$$
$$\frac{w_k}{n-k} \le \frac{B}{k^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n-k} \text{ for } C_0 \le k \le n/2.$$

With this we get

$$\sum_{\substack{C_0 \le k \le n/2}} \frac{w_k}{n-k} \le \frac{2B}{n} \sum_{\substack{C_0 \le k \le n/2}} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{2BS_n}{n}$$
$$\sum_{\substack{n/2 < k \le n-1}} \frac{w_k}{n-k} \le \frac{4B}{n^2} \sum_{\substack{n/2 < k \le n-1}} \frac{1}{n-k} = \frac{4BH_n}{n^2} \le \frac{4(1+\log n)B}{n^2}$$

if

Example (Permutations with distinct cycle lengths – cont'd)

Altogether this gives

$$nw_n \le \frac{20C_0w(1)}{n} + \left(\frac{20S_n}{n} + \frac{40(1+\log n)}{n^2}\right)B \le \frac{B}{n}$$
$$B \ge \frac{20C_0w(1)}{1 - 20S_n - \frac{40(1+\log n)}{n}}$$

This can be achieved as $n \ge C$; so choose *C* sufficiently large to guarantee that S_n can be made small (by choosing C_0 large enough) and the denominator is positive. Then choose *B* sufficiently large.

Finally, we obtain $h_n \le w_n = O(1/n^2)$ and so f_n converges.

Saddle point techniques

Saddle point techniques

Laplace's method

Laplace's method

Goal: Evaluation of the parameter integral

$$I(\lambda) = \int_a^b f(z) e^{-\lambda h(z)} \,\mathrm{d} z, \quad \text{ as } \lambda o \infty,$$

where

■ *f* and *h* are analytic and real-valued for real *z*.

There is a $a < t_0 < b$ such that $h'(t_0) = 0$ and $h''(t_0) > 0$.

Saddle point techniques

Laplace's method

Idea: Let $f(t_0) \neq 0$ and esimate

$$egin{aligned} & f(\lambda) \sim \int_a^b f(t_0) \exp\left(-\lambda \left(h(t_0) + h''(t_0) rac{(t-t_0)^2}{2}
ight)
ight) \,\mathrm{d}t \ & \sim f(t_0) e^{-\lambda h(t_0)} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp\left(-rac{(t-t_0)^2}{2} \lambda h''(t_0)
ight) \,\mathrm{d}t \ & \sim f(t_0) e^{-\lambda h(t_0)} \sqrt{rac{2\pi}{\lambda h''(t_0)}} \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k e^{-tx^2} \, \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{t^{-(k+1)/2} k!}{(k/2)! \cdot 2^{k+1/2}} & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Note: *k* fixed, $\alpha > 0$, then $\int_{\theta}^{\infty} x^k e^{-\alpha x^2} dx = O\left(e^{-\alpha \theta^2}\right)$. \triangle Simultaneous limits: $\lambda \to \infty$, $t \to t_0$

Laplace's method

Theorem (Laplace's method)

Let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ and

$$I(x) = \int_a^b f(t) e^{-xh(t)} \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Assume

(1) *f* is once and *h* twice continuously differentiable; (2) t_0 unique minimum of *h*, with $h''(t_0) > 0$, $f(t_0) \neq 0$; (3) $h(t) = h(t_0) + \frac{h''(t_0)}{2}(t-t_0)^2 + O(|t-t_0|^3)$; (4) I(x) convergent for $x \ge x_0$. Then

$$I(x) = f(t_0) \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{xh''(t_0)}} e^{-xh(t_0)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)\right), \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

Laplace's method

Proof: W.I.o.g.
$$t_0 = 0$$
 ($a < 0$, $b > 0$), $h(t_0) = 0$ and $f(t) \equiv 1$
(otherwise $f(t) = f(t_0) + O(|t - t_0|)$)
By (2), we have $|t| < \delta \implies |h(t) - h''(0)\frac{t^2}{2}| \le c|t|^3$. Write

$$I(x) = \underbrace{\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} e^{-xh(t)} dt}_{l_1(x)} + \underbrace{\int_{|t| > \delta} e^{-xh(t)} dt}_{l_2(x)}.$$

With $\mu := \inf_{|t| > \delta} h(t) > 0$ we get

$$|I_2(x)| \le \int_{|t| > \delta} e^{-x_0 h(t) - (x - x_0) h(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t \le e^{-(x - x_0) \mu} \int_{|t| > \delta} e^{-x_0 h(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t = O\left(e^{-\beta x}\right)$$

for some $\beta > 0$.

Saddle point techniques

Laplace's method

Turn to $I_1(x)$:

$$I_{1}(x) = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} e^{-xh''(0)\frac{t^{2}}{2}} dt + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} e^{-xh''(0)\frac{t^{2}}{2}} \left(e^{-x\left(h(t) - h''(0)\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)} - 1 \right) dt$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{h''(0)x}} + O\left(e^{-x\delta^{2}}\right) + J$$

As

$$|e^{t} - 1| = \left|\sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}\right| < \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{|t|^{n}}{(n-1)!} = |t|e^{|t|}$$

and $|h(t) - h''(0)rac{t^2}{2}| \leq c|t^3|$, we have

$$|J| \leq 2cx \int_0^{\delta} t^3 \exp\left(-xh''(0)\frac{t^2}{2} + cxt^3\right) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

Saddle point techniques

Laplace's method

We had

$$I_1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{h''(t_0)x}} + O\left(e^{-x\delta^2}\right) + J$$

with

$$|J| \leq 2cx \int_0^\delta t^3 \exp\left(-xh''(t_0)\frac{t^2}{2} + cxt^3\right) dt$$

and thus we get

$$|J| \leq 2cx \int_0^{\delta} t^3 \exp\left(-xt^2\left(\frac{h''(t_0)}{2} - \delta c\right)\right) \, \mathrm{d}t \leq 2cx \int_0^{\delta} t^3 \exp\left(-xt^2b\right) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

for $b = \frac{h''(t_0)}{2} - \delta c$. Substitution $u = \sqrt{xbt}$ gives

$$|J| \leq \frac{2c}{b^2 x} \int_0^\infty u^3 e^{-u^2} \,\mathrm{d}u = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

and therefore $I_1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{h''(t_0)x}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)\right)$.

Saddle points

Saddle points

Let *f* be analytic in some region Ω , $z_0 \in \Omega$ $\underline{z_0}$ is an ordinary point: $f(z_0) \neq 0$, $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ For $z = z_0 + re^{i\theta}$ and $\frac{f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} = \lambda e^{i\phi}$ we have

$$|f(z)| = |f(z_0) + re^{i\theta}f'(z_0) + O(r^2)| \sim |f(z_0)| \cdot |1 + r\lambda\cos(\theta + \phi)|$$

Let *r* be sufficiently small, while θ varies. Then

 $|f(z)| \sim |f(z_0)|(1 \pm \lambda r)$ for $\theta = -\phi$, $\theta = -\phi + \pi$, resp. (line of steepest ascent resp. descent) $|f(z)| \sim |f(z_0)| + o(r)$ for $\theta = -\phi \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ (level set, perpendicular to gradient)

Saddle points

 z_0 is a zero: $f(z_0) = 0$, $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ if first order. Then $|f(z)| \sim r|f'(z_0)| = O(r)$. For *m*th order: $|f(z)| = O(r^m)$. z_0 is a simple saddle point: $f(z_0) \neq 0$, $f'(z_0) = 0$, $f''(z_0) \neq 0$ $|f(z)| = \left| f(z_0) + \frac{r^2}{2} e^{2i\theta} f''(z_0) + O(r^3) \right|$ Set $\lambda e^{i\phi} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{f''(z_0)}{f(z_0)}$. $= |f(z_0)| \left| 1 + \lambda r^2 e^{i(2\theta + \phi)} + O(r^3) \right|$ $\sim |f(z_0)|(1 + \lambda r^2 \cos(2\theta + \phi))$ 1.1-1.0-|f(z)| maximal for $\theta = -\phi/2$ 0.9-0.8-|f(z)| minimal for $\theta = -\phi/2 + \pi/2$ 0.7 $|f(z)| \sim |f(z_0)|$ for $\theta = -\phi/2 + \pi/4$ and for $\theta = -\phi/2 + 3\pi/4$ -0.5 0.5 0.5

Pattern repeats once. (0 $\leq \theta \leq 2\pi$)

Saddle point techniques

Saddle points

p-fold saddles:
$$f'(z_0) = f''(z_0) = \cdots = f^{(p)}(z_0) = 0$$
,
 $f^{(p+1)}(z_0) \neq 0$
Example: $p = 2$ and $p = 4$:

