

The Mostowski collapse

Work in ZFC.

Exercise 1 Show that given any set X and any relation R on X , R is set-like on X .

Exercise 2 Show that the \in relation is well-founded and set-like on \mathbf{V} .

Exercise 3 Show that given any class \mathbf{A} , the \in relation is well-founded and set-like on \mathbf{A} .

Assume in the following three exercises that a class \mathbf{A} is given and that \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{G} are (uniquely) such that \mathbf{M} is transitive and \mathbf{G} is an isomorphism from $(\mathbf{A}, \in \upharpoonright \mathbf{A})$ to (\mathbf{M}, \in) .¹

Exercise 4

- If $\emptyset \in \mathbf{A}$, then $\mathbf{G}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
- If $\alpha + 1 \subseteq \mathbf{A}$, then $\mathbf{G}(\alpha) = \alpha$.
- If $\alpha \subseteq \mathbf{A}$, $x \subseteq \alpha$ and $x \in \mathbf{A}$, then $\mathbf{G}(x) = x$.
- If $Y \subseteq \mathbf{A}$ is transitive, $x \subseteq Y$ and $x \in \mathbf{A}$, then $\mathbf{G}(x) = x$.

Exercise 5 Under what (sufficient and necessary) conditions (on \mathbf{A}) is \mathbf{G} the identity function on \mathbf{A} ?

Exercise 6

- Assume $\beta \in \mathbf{A}$ and $\alpha = \mathbf{A} \cap \beta$. Then $\mathbf{G}(\beta) = \alpha$.
- Assume $Y \in \mathbf{A}$ and $X = \mathbf{A} \cap Y$ is transitive. Then $\mathbf{G}(Y) = X$.

Exercise 7 Define $x\mathbf{R}y$ iff $x \in \text{trcl}(y)$. Show that \mathbf{R} is well-founded² and set-like (on \mathbf{V}). Let \mathbf{G} be the Mostowski collapsing function of (\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{R}) . Show that $\mathbf{G}(x) = \text{rank}(x)$ for each x .³

¹this is, by exercise 3, a special case of the situation of III, definition 5.9 of Kunen's book, where \mathbf{R} is the \in relation. $\in \upharpoonright \mathbf{A}$ is the \in relation on \mathbf{A} .

²Hint: Show (and use) that $x\mathbf{R}y$ implies $\text{rank}(x) < \text{rank}(y)$.

³Hint: Use induction on $\text{rank}(x)$. Show first that $\mathbf{G}(x)$ is an ordinal for each x .

Leftovers

Exercise 8 Assume $\lambda \leq \kappa$ and show that there are κ^λ -many injective functions from λ to κ (i.e. $|\{f \in {}^\lambda\kappa : f \text{ injective}\}| = \kappa^\lambda$).

Hint: Given $f \in {}^\lambda\kappa$, find a way to construct an injective $g_f \in {}^\lambda\kappa$ in an injective way, i.e. it should be the case that whenever $f_0 \neq f_1 \in {}^\lambda\kappa$, $g_{f_0} \neq g_{f_1}$.

Additional hint:

Use a fixed partition of κ into λ -many disjoint pieces, each of size κ . Define g_f so that $g_f(i)$ is the $f(i)$ th element of the i th disjoint piece for each $i < \lambda$. Check that this works!

Exercise 9 Show (without assuming GCH) using the definition

$$\kappa^{<\lambda} := \sup\{\kappa^\delta : \delta < \lambda, \delta \in \text{Card}\} :$$

- If κ is strongly inaccessible, then $\kappa^{<\kappa} = 2^{<\kappa} = \kappa$.
- If κ is weakly inaccessible, then $\kappa^{<\kappa} = 2^{<\kappa}$.

Hint for the 2nd item: If κ happens to be strongly inaccessible, we are done using the first item. Thus we may assume (and use) that there is $\delta < \kappa$ such that $2^\delta \geq \kappa$.