## Set Theory pt. 2

**Exercise 1** Show that no set x is equal to it's power set  $\mathcal{P}(x)$ .

**Exercise 2** Show that the comprehension axiom (Aussonderung) follows from the other axioms of ZFC (in particular you will need the replacement axiom (Ersetzung)) together with the assertion that the empty set exists  $(\exists x \forall y \neg (y \in x)).$ 

## Exercise 3

- If f: A → B is injective (1-1), then there exists g: B → A which is surjective (onto). This can be done without using the Axiom of Choice.
- If f: N → B is surjective, then there exists g: B → N which is injective. This can be done without using the Axiom of Choice.
- If f: A → B is surjective, then there exists g: B → A which is injective. This (in general) needs the Axiom of Choice.

## Exercise 4 (Schröder-Bernstein Theorem)

Show without using the Axiom of Choice: If  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to A$  are injections, then there exists a bijection  $h: B \to A$ .

**Hint:** We may assume for simplicity of notation that  $A \subseteq B$  and f is just the inclusion map. Let

$$C = \{g^n(x) \colon n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B \setminus A\}.$$

Let h(x) = g(x) for  $x \in C$  and h(x) = x for  $x \in B \setminus C$ . Show that this is a reasonable definition and that h is as desired.<sup>1</sup>

For the remaining exercises, let P be the set of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $\beta \colon \mathbb{N} \to P$  be a bijection. Define  $mE_{\beta}n \iff m \in \beta(n)$  and consider the structure  $(\mathbb{N}, E_{\beta})$  as a structure in the language of set theory.

**Exercise 5** Which axioms of ZFC hold in  $(\mathbb{N}, E_{\beta})$ ? Don't check the axiom of foundation, it's validity will depend on the particular choice of  $\beta$ ; we will explore that in the following exercises.

 $<sup>{}^{1}</sup>A \setminus B$  denotes the set-theoretic difference of A and B, i.e. A intersected with the complement of B.  $g^{0}(x) = x$  and for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g^{n+1}(x) = g(g^{n}(x))$ . Note that (for our purposes)  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Exercise 6** If we choose  $\beta$  to be such that

$$\beta(2^{n_1} + \ldots + 2^{n_k}) = \{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$$

for pairwise disjoint  $n_i$ , then  $(\mathbb{N}, E_{\beta})$  is well-founded, i.e. there is no infinite sequence  $\langle x_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{i+1}E_{\beta}x_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also  $(\mathbb{N}, E_{\beta})$  satisfies the axiom of foundation. This can either be checked directly or one may observe that in general, if  $(\mathbb{N}, E_{\beta})$  is well-founded, then it satisfies the axiom of foundation.

**Exercise 7** Find a bijection  $\beta$  from  $\mathbb{N}$  to P such that  $(\mathbb{N}, E_{\beta})$  does not satisfy the axiom of foundation.

**Exercise 8** Find a bijection  $\beta$  as above such that  $(\mathbb{N}, E_{\beta})$  is not well-founded, but satisfies the axiom of foundation.

**Hint:** Replace  $\mathbb{N}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$  and use an appropriate bijection  $\beta^* \colon \mathbb{Z} \to P^*$  with  $m \in \beta^*(n) \to m < n$ , where  $P^*$  denotes the set of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Obtain  $\beta$  from  $\beta^*$  by using a bijection between  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$ .