

Set Theory pt. 6

Exercises 2 and 3 from last time.

Exercise 1 Show that if an ordinal α can be written as a finite expression using only 0 , ω^x , $x + y$ and $x \cdot y$ as in Exercise 10 from the one before last sheet, then $\omega^\alpha > \alpha$. Hence ε_0 cannot be written as such a finite expression.

Hint: Induction on the structure of the finite expression. To be a little more exact this time, z is said to be a finite expression of the above form iff $z = 0$ or x and y are known to be finite expressions of the above form and z is of the form ω^x , $x + y$ or $x \cdot y$. So for example in the proof of Exercise 1, one has to show that if x and y are finite expressions, α_x and α_y are the ordinals described by x and y and inductively, $\omega^{\alpha_x} > \alpha_x$ and $\omega^{\alpha_y} > \alpha_y$, then $\omega^{\alpha_x + \alpha_y} > \alpha_x + \alpha_y$.

Exercise 2 Show that if for some ordinal δ , $\alpha < \omega^\delta$ and $\beta \geq \omega^\delta$, then $\alpha + \beta = \beta$ (Hint: Cantor's Normal Form Theorem will be helpful).

Exercise 3 Show that ordinal addition is not commutative, but a (very) weak form of commutativity holds, namely for all ordinals α and β we have that

$$\alpha + \beta + \alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha + \alpha + \beta.$$

Hint: Use Exercise 2 and Cantor's Normal Form Theorem.

Exercise 4 Show that every cardinal (Kardinalzahl) is a limit ordinal.

Exercise 5 If κ and λ are cardinals and A and B are disjoint sets with $|A| = \kappa$ and $|B| = \lambda$, we write $\kappa + \lambda$ for $|A \cup B|$. Show that $\kappa + \lambda$ is thus well-defined and commutative. Show that for any infinite cardinals κ and λ , $\kappa + \kappa = \kappa$ and therefore $\kappa + \lambda = \max\{\kappa, \lambda\}$.

Note: Although the symbol $+$ is the same as before, the operation defined in the previous exercise as $+$ (cardinal addition) is very different to that we used in the previous exercises (ordinal addition).

Model Theory

Exercise 6 Show that if a theory has arbitrary large finite models, then it has an infinite model.

Hint: Introduce infinitely many new constant symbols and use the Compactness Theorem.

Exercise 7 Let \mathcal{L} be the language consisting of countably many constant symbols c_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let T be the theory which says that for all $n_0 \neq n_1$ in \mathbb{N} , $c_{n_0} \neq c_{n_1}$. Determine how many non-isomorphic countable models T has. How many non-isomorphic models does T have of cardinality κ if κ is an uncountable cardinal? (Hint: Use Exercise 5)

Exercise 8 Let \mathcal{L} be the language consisting of countably many relation symbols R_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let T be the theory which says that the R_n are (pairwise) disjoint and each R_n holds for infinitely many x . Try to write down the axioms of T and show that the number of non-isomorphic models of cardinality κ of T are uncountable if κ is ω_1 , where we let ω_1 denote ω^+ , the successor cardinal (Nachfolgerkardinalzahl) of ω . (Hint: Use that $|\omega \times \omega_1| = \omega_1$)