

# Small Models, Large Cardinals, and Large Cardinal Ideals (joint work with Philipp Lücke)

Peter Holy

Universität Bonn

06.07.2019

# Ramsey cardinals

Victoria Gitman isolated the following from work of William Mitchell from the late 70'ies.

## Theorem

*$\kappa$  is a Ramsey cardinal if for every  $A \subseteq \kappa$  there is a transitive weak  $\kappa$ -model  $M$  with  $A \in M$  and with a (uniform)  $\kappa$ -amenable, countably complete and  $M$ -normal ultrafilter  $U$  on  $\kappa$ .*

- A weak  $\kappa$ -model  $M$  is a model of  $ZFC^-$  such that  $|M| = \kappa$  and  $\kappa + 1 \subseteq M$ .
- An  $M$ -ultrafilter  $U$  is  $M$ -normal if it closed under diagonal intersections in  $M$ .
- $U$  is countably complete if any countable intersection (in  $\mathbf{V}$ ) of filter elements is nonempty.
- $U$  is  $\kappa$ -amenable if whenever  $X$  is a set of size  $\kappa$  in  $M$ , then  $X \cap U \in M$ .

Note: We will require all our filters to be uniform.

# Varying the parameters

What happens if we vary the requirements on  $M$  and on  $U$ ? For example:

- Instead of the countable completeness of  $U$ , only require the ultrapower of  $M$  by  $U$  to be well-founded.
- Do not require well-foundedness of the ultrapower.

Or require  $U$  to be ...

- *stationary-complete*: Every countable intersection from  $U$  (in  $\mathbf{V}$ ) is stationary in  $\kappa$ .
- *genuine*: Every diagonal intersection of  $U$  is unbounded in  $\kappa$ .
- *normal*: Every diagonal intersection of  $U$  is stationary in  $\kappa$ .

We may also require that  $M \prec H(\theta)$  for sufficiently large regular  $\theta$  instead of transitivity of  $M$  in any of the above.

# A table of results

| $U$ is $\kappa$ -amenable and... | $M$ is transitive                  | $M \prec H(\theta)$  |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| $<\kappa$ -complete for $M$      | weakly compact                     | weakly compact       |
| $M$ -normal                      | $\mathbf{T}_\omega^\kappa$ -Ramsey | completely ineffable |
| ... and well-founded             | weakly Ramsey                      | $\omega$ -Ramsey     |
| ... and countably complete       | Ramsey                             | $\prec$ -Ramsey      |
| ... and stationary-complete      | ineffably Ramsey                   | $\Delta$ -Ramsey     |
| genuine                          | $\infty_\omega^\kappa$ -Ramsey     | $\Delta$ -Ramsey     |
| normal                           | $\Delta_\omega^\kappa$ -Ramsey     | $\Delta$ -Ramsey     |

# Completely ineffable cardinals

## Definition

$\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$  is a *stationary class* if  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$  is a collection of stationary subsets of  $\kappa$ .

## Definition

A cardinal  $\kappa$  is *completely ineffable* if there is a stationary class  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$  such that whenever  $A \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $f: [A]^2 \rightarrow 2$ , then there is  $H \subseteq A$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  that is homogeneous for  $f$ .

## Theorem (Kleinberg, 1970ies)

$\kappa$  is completely ineffable iff for every sufficiently large regular  $\theta$  and every / some countable  $M \prec H(\theta)$  with  $\kappa \in M$ , there is an  $M$ -normal,  $\kappa$ -amenable  $M$ -ultrafilter  $U$  on  $\kappa$ .

# Another characterization of complete ineffability

Results from below papers essentially show the following theorem (using completely different proofs than the above result about countable models):

- Holy-Schlicht (2018): A hierarchy of Ramsey-like cardinals, characterized through the (non-)existence of winning strategies for certain infinite games, with  $\omega$ -Ramsey cardinals at the bottom.
- Nielsen-Welch (2019): A characterization of complete ineffability as a weakening of  $\omega$ -Ramseyness.

## Theorem

*$\kappa$  is completely ineffable iff for every sufficiently large regular  $\theta$  and every  $x \in H(\theta)$  there is a weak  $\kappa$ -model  $M \prec H(\theta)$  with  $x \in M$  and with a  $\kappa$ -amenable,  $M$ -normal ultrafilter  $U$  on  $\kappa$ .*

# Uniform large cardinal ideals

These large cardinal characterizations also allow for highly uniform definitions of corresponding *large cardinal ideals*. Let  $\varphi$  denote a large cardinal property that is characterized (as are Ramseyness or complete ineffability above) through the existence of certain models  $M$  with  $M$ -ultrafilters  $U$  having a certain property  $\varphi^*$ . We define  $I_\varphi$  and  $I_{\prec\varphi}$  as follows:

- $A \in I_\varphi$  if there is  $x \subseteq \kappa$  such that for all transitive weak  $\kappa$ -models  $M$  with  $x \in M$  and every  $M$ -ultrafilter  $U$  with Property  $\varphi^*$ , we have  $A \notin U$ .
- $A \in I_{\prec\varphi}$  if for all sufficiently large regular  $\theta$  there is  $x \in H(\theta)$  such that for all weak  $\kappa$ -models  $M \prec H(\theta)$  with  $x \in M$  and every  $M$ -ultrafilter  $U$  with Property  $\varphi^*$ , we have  $A \notin U$ .

Given that  $\varphi(\kappa)$  holds, these ideals are easily seen to be proper ideals on  $\kappa$ . If  $\varphi^*$  implies the  $M$ -normality of  $U$ , then these ideals are normal ideals on  $\kappa$ .

## Example: The completely ineffable ideal

In all cases of large cardinals for which corresponding large cardinal ideals have already been defined, these coincide with our definitions: weakly compact, Ramsey, ineffably Ramsey. In some other cases, our ideals correspond to well-known set theoretic objects, and sometimes they are *new*.

Let  $\kappa$  be completely ineffable, and let  $I$  denote the completely ineffable ideal on  $\kappa$ . An adaption of the proof of the previous theorem yields the following.

### Theorem

*$I$  is the complement of the maximal (w.r.t.  $\supseteq$ ) stationary class witnessing the complete ineffability of  $\kappa$ .*



# Hierarchy results

We can show in most cases that these ideals are strictly  $\subseteq$ -increasing, in a way which also implies that the related large cardinal notions are strictly increasing in terms of consistency strength. For example: Weakly compact ideal  $\subsetneq$  Ineffable Ideal  $\subsetneq$  Completely Ineffable ideal  $\subsetneq$  weakly Ramsey ideal  $\subsetneq$  Ramsey ideal  $\subsetneq$   $\prec$ -Ramsey ideal  $\subsetneq$  *measurable ideal*.

# The measurable ideal

The *measurable ideal*  $I_{ms}^\kappa$  on a measurable cardinal  $\kappa$  is the complement of the union of all normal ultrafilters on  $\kappa$ , and also fits into our framework of large cardinal ideals. This ideal is not very interesting in small inner models (for example in  $L[U]$ ). Moreover:

## Theorem

*If any set of pairwise incomparable conditions in the Mitchell ordering at  $\kappa$  has size at most  $\kappa$ , then the partial order  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/I_{ms}^\kappa$  is atomic.*

However, it is consistently non-trivial – adapting classical arguments from Kunen and Paris yields the following:

## Theorem

*Every model with a measurable cardinal  $\kappa$  has a forcing extension in which  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/I_{ms}^\kappa$  is atomless.*

# Normally Ramsey cardinals

## Definition

An uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$  is *S-Ramsey* /  $\infty$ -Ramsey /  $\Delta$ -Ramsey if for every regular  $\theta > \kappa$ , every  $x \in H(\theta)$  is contained in a weak  $\kappa$ -model  $M \prec H(\theta)$  with a  $\kappa$ -amenable,  $M$ -normal ultrafilter  $U$  on  $\kappa$  that is stationary-complete / genuine / normal.

Generalizing results from Holy and Schlicht shows the following.

## Theorem

*$\kappa$  is S-Ramsey /  $\infty$ -Ramsey /  $\Delta$ -Ramsey if for all regular  $\theta > \kappa$ , Player I does not have a winning strategy in the game of length  $\omega$  in which Player I plays a  $\subset$ -increasing sequence of  $\kappa$ -models  $M_i \prec H(\theta)$  with union  $M$ , and Player II responds with a  $\subseteq$ -increasing sequence of  $M_i$ -ultrafilters  $U_i$  with union  $U$ . Player I also has to ensure that  $M_i$  and  $U_i$  are both elements of  $M_{i+1}$  for every  $i \in \omega$ . Player II wins if  $U$  is an  $M$ -normal filter that is stationary-complete / genuine / normal.*

... are equivalent to some seemingly weaker Ramsey-like cardinals

### Lemma

$S$ -Ramsey  $\equiv \infty$ -Ramsey  $\equiv \Delta$ -Ramsey.

*Proof:* Assume that  $\kappa$  is  $S$ -Ramsey, that  $\theta > \kappa$  is regular, and let  $x \in H(\theta)$ . Let  $M_0 \prec H(\theta)$  with  $x \in M_0$  be a weak  $\kappa$ -model. Consider a run of the game for  $S$ -Ramsey, in which Player I starts by playing  $M_0$ , and which Player II wins – with resulting model  $M = \bigcup_{i < \omega} M_i$  and  $M$ -ultrafilter  $U = \bigcup_{i < \omega} U_i$ . This means that  $M \prec H(\theta)$  is a weak  $\kappa$ -model with  $x \in M$ , and  $U$  is  $\kappa$ -amenable,  $M$ -normal and stationary-complete. But  $\Delta U \supseteq \bigcap_{i < \omega} \Delta U_i$  (modulo a non-stationary set). Since each  $\Delta U_i \in U$ , it follows that  $\Delta U$  is stationary, for it is stationary-complete. But this means that  $U$  is normal, and hence  $\kappa$  is  $\Delta$ -Ramsey.  $\square$