

# Countable dense homogeneity and set theory

Andrea Medini

Department of Mathematics  
University of Wisconsin - Madison

April 13, 2013

All spaces are separable, metrizable, and with no isolated points. Let  $\mathcal{H}(X)$  be the group of homeomorphisms of  $X$ .

### Definition (Bennett, 1972)

A space  $X$  is *countable dense homogeneous* (briefly, CDH) if for every pair  $(D, E)$  of countable dense subsets of  $X$  there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)$  such that  $h[D] = E$ .

Examples:

- $\mathbb{R}$  (Cantor, 1895),  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (Brouwer, 1913).
- The Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^\omega$  (Fort, 1962).
- Under  $\text{MA}(\sigma\text{-centered})$ , some Bernstein sets  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ .
- Under  $\text{MA}(\text{countable})$ , some ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq 2^\omega$ .

Non-examples:

- $\mathbb{Q}^\omega$  (Fitzpatrick and Zhou, 1992).
- Under  $\text{MA}(\text{countable})$ , some ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq 2^\omega$ .

## Proof that $\mathbb{R}^3$ is CDH

It is essentially a back-and-forth argument.

Enumerate  $D = \{d_n : n \in \omega\}$  and  $E = \{e_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Construct  $f_n \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $h_n = f_n \circ \dots \circ f_1 \circ f_0$ . In the end, we would like to set  $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n$ . Make sure that

- $h_m(d_n) = h_{2n}(d_n) \in E$  for all  $m \geq 2n$ ,
- $h_m^{-1}(e_n) = h_{2n+1}^{-1}(e_n) \in D$  for all  $m \geq 2n + 1$ .

Problem: the  $h_n$  might not converge to a homeomorphism!

The Inductive Convergence Criterion (using the fact that  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is Polish) guarantees exactly that, provided this additional condition is satisfied:

- $f_n$  is sufficiently close to the identity: actually, we can choose  $f_n$  to be supported inside an arbitrarily small open set.

## The main positive result

The following is the key property used in the above proof.

### Definition

A space  $X$  is *strongly locally homogeneous* (briefly, SLH) if there exists an open base  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $X$  such that for every  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $x, y \in U$  there exists a homeomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $h(x) = y$  and  $h \upharpoonright (X \setminus U)$  is the identity.

Examples:  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (actually, any manifold),  $2^\omega$ ,  $[0, 1]^\omega$ , any zero-dimensional homogeneous space.

### Theorem (Curtis, Anderson, Van Mill, 1982)

*If  $X$  is Polish and SLH then  $X$  is CDH.*

# What about non-complete spaces?

Question (Fitzpatrick and Zhou, 1990)

*Is there a CDH space that is not Polish?*

Recall that  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  is a *Bernstein set* if neither  $X$  nor  $2^\omega \setminus X$  contain any copy of  $2^\omega$ .

Theorem (Baldwin and Beaudoin, 1989)

*Under  $MA(\sigma\text{-centered})$ , there is a CDH Bernstein  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ .*

Theorem (Medini and Milovich, 2012)

*Under  $MA(\text{countable})$ , there exists a CDH non-principal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq 2^\omega$ .*

Theorem (Farah, Hrušák and Martínez-Ranero, 2005)

*There exists a CDH subspace  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  of size  $\aleph_1$  that is not completely metrizable (actually,  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -set).*

## Using MA: the basic poset

Fix countable dense subsets  $D, E \subseteq 2^\omega$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all pairs  $p = (g, \pi) = (g_p, \pi_p)$  such that, for some  $n = n_p \in \omega$ , the following conditions hold.

- $g$  is a bijection between a finite subset of  $D$  and a finite subset of  $E$ .
- $\pi$  is a permutation of  ${}^n 2$ .
- $\pi(d \upharpoonright n) = g(d) \upharpoonright n$  for every  $d \in \text{dom}(g)$ .

Order  $\mathbb{P}$  by declaring  $q \leq p$  if the following conditions hold.

- $g_q \supseteq g_p$ .
- $\pi_q(t) \upharpoonright n_p = \pi_p(t \upharpoonright n_p)$  for all  $t \in {}^{n_q} 2$ .

The generic function will be  $h \in \mathcal{H}(2^\omega)$  such that  $h[D] = E$ .

The basic poset by itself is useless! However, appropriate modifications of it yield the following lemmas. Recall that  $D \subseteq X$  is  $\lambda$ -dense if  $|D \cap U| = \lambda$  for every non-empty open  $U \subseteq X$ .

### Lemma (Baldwin and Beaudoin, 1989)

*Assume MA( $\sigma$ -centered). Fix  $\kappa < \mathfrak{c}$  and infinite  $\lambda_\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Let  $\{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  be a collection of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $2^\omega$  such that each  $D_\alpha$  is  $\lambda_\alpha$ -dense in  $2^\omega$ . Let  $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  be another such collection. Then there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(2^\omega)$  such that  $h[D_\alpha] = E_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha$ .*

### Lemma (Medini and Milovich, 2012)

*Assume MA(countable). Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is a  $< \mathfrak{c}$ -generated proper ideal. Fix countable dense  $D, E \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ . Then there exist  $x \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}(2^\omega)$  such that  $\mathcal{I} \cup \{x\}$  still generates a proper ideal,  $h[D] = E$  and  $\{d \Delta h(d) : d \in D\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(x)$ .*

## Constructing a CDH Bernstein set $X \subseteq 2^\omega$

Enumerate all perfect subsets of  $2^\omega$  as  $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c}\}$ , then build increasing sequences  $\langle X_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$  and  $\langle Y_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$  such that

- $X_\alpha$  is  $|\alpha|$ -dense in  $2^\omega$  (in particular  $|X_\alpha| = |\alpha|$ ),
- $Y_\alpha$  is  $|\alpha|$ -dense in  $2^\omega$  (in particular  $|Y_\alpha| = |\alpha|$ ),
- $X_\alpha \cap Y_\alpha = \emptyset$ .

In the end, we will set  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} X_\alpha$ .

At stage  $\alpha + 1$ , make sure that both  $X_{\alpha+1}$  and  $Y_{\alpha+1}$  contain at least one point from  $P_\alpha$ .

New requirements: enumerate as  $\{(D_\alpha, E_\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c}\}$  all pairs of countable dense subsets of  $2^\omega$ , making sure each pair is listed cofinally often.



Also build an increasing sequence  $\langle \mathcal{H}_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \rangle$  of subgroups of  $\mathcal{H}(2^\omega)$  of size  $< \mathfrak{c}$  such that

- $h[X_\alpha] = X_\alpha$  for every  $h \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ,
- $h[Y_\alpha] = Y_\alpha$  for every  $h \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ .

In the end, let  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ . This will guarantee that each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  restricts to a homeomorphism of  $X$ .

At stage  $\alpha$ , assume  $D_\alpha \cup E_\alpha \subseteq X_\alpha$  and  $X_\alpha \setminus (D_\alpha \cup E_\alpha)$  is  $|\alpha|$ -dense (otherwise, skip this part).

Use the first lemma (with  $\kappa = 3$ ) to get  $h \in \mathcal{H}(2^\omega)$  such that

- $h[D_\alpha] = E_\alpha$ ,
- $h[X_\alpha \setminus D_\alpha] = X_\alpha \setminus E_\alpha$ ,
- $h[Y_\alpha] = Y_\alpha$ .

## Constructing a CDH ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \subseteq 2^\omega$

All filters and ideals are proper and non-principal.

Any ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  is homeomorphic to its dual maximal ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ .

So, for notational convenience, we will construct an increasing sequence of  $\aleph_1$ -generated ideals  $\langle \mathcal{I}_\alpha : \alpha \in \aleph_1 \rangle$ . In the end, let  $\mathcal{J}$  be any maximal ideal extending  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \aleph_1} \mathcal{I}_\alpha$ .

The idea is to use the following lemma.

### Lemma (Medini and Milovich, 2012)

*Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}(2^\omega)$ . Fix a maximal ideal  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq 2^\omega$  and a countable dense  $D \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ . Then  $h$  restricts to a homeomorphism of  $\mathcal{J}$  if and only if  $cl(\{d\Delta h(d) : d \in D\}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ .*

At stage  $\alpha + 1$ , make sure that either

- $\omega \setminus x \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}$  for some  $x \in D_\alpha \cup E_\alpha$ , or
- there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(2^\omega)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha+1}$  such that  $h[D_\alpha] = E_\alpha$  and  $\{d\Delta h(d) : d \in D_\alpha\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(x)$  (use the second lemma).

## How to prove stuff in ZFC

(Based on Dilip Raghavan's "Almost disjoint families and diagonalizations of length continuum", 2010.)

Suppose we are in the middle (stage  $\alpha + 1$ ) of a construction that uses MA( $\sigma$ -centered):

*Since we are using only  $|\alpha| < \mathfrak{c}$  dense sets and our poset is  $\sigma$ -centered, using MA( $\sigma$ -centered) we get a generic object  $x$  that takes care of the  $\alpha$ -th requirement. Now let  $X_{\alpha+1} = X_\alpha \cup \{x\}$ .*

Key observation: if  $|\alpha| < \mathfrak{p}$ , the assumption of MA( $\sigma$ -centered) is not necessary (Bell, 1981).

Therefore, if we could show that only  $\mathfrak{p}$ -many requirements need to be satisfied, our result would hold in ZFC.

Similarly, for  $\text{MA}(\text{countable})$ , we would need  $|\alpha| < \text{cov}(\text{meager})$ . More generally, let  $\mathfrak{c}$  be a cardinal invariant. If the following two conditions hold at the same time:

- in the inductive step, all we need is  $|\alpha| < \mathfrak{c}$ ,
- globally, we only need to satisfy  $\mathfrak{c}$ -many requirements,

then we will have a ZFC proof.

For example, the starting point of the ZFC proof of the existence of a Van Douwen MAD family is the observation that only  $\mathfrak{c} = \text{non}(\text{meager})$  requirements need to be satisfied.

### Question

*In the two previous construction of CDH spaces, can we reduce the number of requirements from  $\mathfrak{c}$  to  $\mathfrak{p}$  or  $\text{cov}(\text{meager})$ ?*

# What about infinite powers?

Question (Fitzpatrick and Zhou, 1990)

*For which  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$  is  $X^\omega$  CDH?*

Recall that a space  $X$  is *completely Baire* if every closed subspace of  $X$  is a Baire space. Consider the following ‘addition of theorems’.

Theorem (Hurewicz)

*Every co-analytic completely Baire space is Polish.*

Theorem (Hrušák and Zamora Avilés, 2005)

*Every analytic CDH space is completely Baire.*

Theorem (Hrušák and Zamora Avilés, 2005)

*Every Borel CDH space is Polish.*

We just proved half of the following theorem.

**Theorem (Hrušák and Zamora Avilés, 2005)**

*For a Borel  $X \subseteq 2^\omega$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- $X^\omega$  is CDH.
- $X$  is a  $G_\delta$  (equivalently, Polish).

The other half follows from the next result.

**Theorem (Dow and Pearl, 1997)**

*If  $X$  is zero-dimensional and first-countable then  $X^\omega$  is homogeneous.*

**Question (Hrušák and Zamora Avilés, 2005)**

*Is there a non- $G_\delta$  subset  $X$  of  $2^\omega$  such that  $X^\omega$  is CDH?*

Given Baldwin and Beaudoin's result, a Bernstein set seems like a natural candidate. But...

**Theorem (Hernández-Gutiérrez, 2013)**

*If  $X$  is crowded and  $X^\omega$  is CDH, then  $X$  contains a copy of  $2^\omega$ .*

**Theorem (Medini and Milovich, 2012)**

*Assume  $MA(\text{countable})$ . Then there exists a non-principal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq 2^\omega$  such that  $\mathcal{U}^\omega$  is CDH.*

**Theorem (Hernández-Gutiérrez and Hrušák, 2013)**

*Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^\omega$  be a non-meager  $P$ -filter. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^\omega$  are CDH.*

This is particularly interesting because the statement 'There are no non-meager  $P$ -filters' is known to have large cardinal strength.

## Products and countable dense homogeneity

Since homeomorphisms permute connected components, it is easy to show that  $2^\omega \times S^1$  is not CDH. But what about *zero-dimensional* spaces? The following theorem follows easily from work of Hrušák and Zamora Avilés.

### Theorem

*If  $X_n$  is Borel, zero-dimensional and CDH for every  $n \in \omega$  then  $\prod_{n \in \omega} X_n$  is CDH.*

It is natural to ask whether the ‘Borel’ assumption can be dropped...

### Theorem (Medini, 2013)

*Under  $MA(\sigma\text{-centered})$ , there exists a zero-dimensional CDH space  $X$  such that  $X^2$  is not CDH (actually,  $X^2$  has  $\mathfrak{c}$ -many types of countable dense sets).*



# Raising cardinals

## Part I: Raising the density

### Definition

Let  $\lambda$  be an infinite cardinal. A space  $X$  is  $\lambda$ -dense homogeneous (briefly,  $\lambda$ -DH) if whenever  $D, E \subseteq X$  are  $\lambda$ -dense there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X)$  such that  $h[D] = E$ .

Notice that  $\text{CDH} = \aleph_0$ -DH. One might expect that under MA every decent (manifold? Polish and SLH?) space is  $\lambda$ -DH for every  $\lambda < \mathfrak{c}$ . This is true in some cases...

### Theorem (Baldwin and Beaudoin, 1989)

*Assume MA( $\sigma$ -centered). Then  $2^\omega$  is  $\lambda$ -DH for every  $\lambda < \mathfrak{c}$ .*

### Theorem (Steprāns and Watson, 1989)

*Assume MA( $\sigma$ -centered). Then every manifold  $X$  of dimension  $n \geq 2$  is  $\lambda$ -DH for every  $\lambda < \mathfrak{c}$ .*

## Baumgartner's result

...but the assumption  $n \geq 2$  is crucial!

### Theorem (Baumgartner, 1973 + 1984)

*It is consistent with  $MA + \mathfrak{c} = \aleph_2$  (it actually follows from PFA) that  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $\aleph_1$ -DH.*

### Theorem (Abraham and Shelah, 1981)

*It is consistent with  $MA + \mathfrak{c} = \aleph_2$  that  $\mathbb{R}$  is not  $\aleph_1$ -DH.*

Furthermore, the following is still open.

### Question (Baumgartner, 1973)

*Is it consistent that  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $\aleph_2$ -DH?*

Why is dimension  $n = 1$  so tough? For example, a bijection  $F \rightarrow G$  between finite subsets  $F, G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  does not necessarily extend to a homeomorphism  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ...

## Kunen's improvements

Recall that the *angle* between two vectors  $v$  and  $w$  is

$$\angle(v, w) = \arccos \left( \frac{v \cdot w}{\|v\| \|w\|} \right) \in [0, \pi].$$

Recall that  $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is *absolutely continuous* if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(U) < \delta$  implies  $\mu(f[U]) < \varepsilon$  for every open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\mu$  is Lebesgue measure.

### Theorem (Kunen, 2012)

*Assume PFA. Fix  $n \geq 1$  and  $\aleph_1$ -dense subsets  $D, E$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ . Then there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that*

- $h[D] = E$ ,
- both  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  are absolutely continuous,
- $\sup\{\angle(x - y, f(x) - f(y)) : x, y \in D, x \neq y\} \leq \theta$ .

# Raising cardinals

## Part II: Raising the weight

In this section, drop the assumption of metrizability.

### Theorem (Steprāns and Zhou, 1988)

*Every manifold of weight  $< \mathfrak{b}$  is CDH. Furthermore, there exists a manifold of weight  $\mathfrak{c}$  that is not CDH.*

### Theorem (Watson, 1988)

*It is consistent that there exists a manifold of weight  $< \mathfrak{c}$  that is not CDH.*

The above results suggest the following definition.

$$\mathfrak{cdh}_m = \min\{\kappa : \text{there exists a non-CDH manifold of weight } \kappa\}$$

### Question (Watson, 1988)

*Is  $\mathfrak{cdh}_m$  one of the known cardinal invariants?*

Recall that  $2^\kappa$  is separable if and only if  $\kappa \leq \mathfrak{c}$ . So it makes sense to define

$$\mathfrak{cdh}_\epsilon = \min\{\kappa : 2^\kappa \text{ is not CDH}\}.$$

### Question

*Is  $\mathfrak{cdh}_\epsilon$  one of the known cardinal invariants?*

Yes! It is in fact the same as the pseudo-intersection number.

### Theorem (Hrušák and Zamora Avilés, 2005)

$$\mathfrak{cdh}_\epsilon = \mathfrak{p}.$$

The proof is based on results of Matveev and Steprāns and Zhou.

# The topological Vaught conjecture

Assume that all spaces are separable metrizable again.

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of topological groups and  $\mathcal{X}$  be a class of spaces. Let  $V(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{X})$  be the statement that for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ , if  $A : G \times X \rightarrow X$  is a continuous action of  $G$  on  $X$  then  $A$  has either countably many or  $\aleph_1$ -many orbits.

The statement  $V(\text{Polish groups}, \text{Polish spaces})$  is known as the *topological Vaught conjecture*. It is obviously true under CH, and it implies the classical Vaught conjecture. (The classical Vaught conjecture says that every complete first-order theory in a countable language has either countably many or  $\aleph_1$ -many isomorphism-classes of countable models.)

### Theorem (Hrušák and Van Mill, 2012)

*The following are equivalent.*

- *$V$ (Polish groups, Locally compact spaces)*
- *Every locally compact space has either countably many or  $c$ -many types of countable dense sets.*

### Question (Hrušák and Van Mill, 2012)

*Can one prove in ZFC the existence of a Polish space with exactly  $\aleph_1$  types of countable dense subsets?*

### Question

*Is there an equivalent formulation of the topological Vaught conjecture involving countable dense homogeneity?*